

Review Article

International Journal of Current Research in Medical Sciences

ISSN: 2454-5716 www.ijcrims.com Coden: IJCRPP(USA)



CD8 T cells in HIV Infection: A Review

^{*}Obeagu,Emmanuel Ifeanyi¹and Obeagu,Getrude Uzoma²

¹Diagnostic Laboartory Unit,Department of University Health Services,Michael Okpara University of Agriculture,Umudike,Abia State,Nigeria.

²Department of Nursing Science, Faculty of Health Science and Technology, Ebonyi State University, Abakaliki, Nigeria.

*Corresponding author: emmanuelobeagu@yahoo.com,obeagu.emmanuel@mouau.edu.ng

Abstract

CD stands for clusters of differentiation used to classify monoclonal antibodies that recognised identical subgroups from a panel of leukocyte cell lines and is now used almost exclusively to refer to the leukocyte antigens themselves.CD8 and CD4 are among the most well known and intensively studied of the CD antigens. CD8 is glycoprotein expressed on thymus derived lymphocytes (T-cells) and contains immunoglobulin-like (ig-like) extracellular domains, a membrane- spanning segment, and a short cytoplasmic tail that interacts with P56^{lck} a sre-like tyrosine kinase. The CD8 exists as a disulfide-linked dimer of either one - and one - chain of 34kDa each or of two - chains. CD8 T cells play an important role in the control of HIV replication during the early phase of infection. These HIV-specific CD8 T cells are targeted at the dominant viral variant and their emergence is usually associated with a rapid fall in viral load before the development of an antibody response. HIV infection is characterized by CD4 T cell depletion, CD8 T cell expansion, and chronic immune activation that leads to immune dysfunction

Keywords: CD8 T Cells,CD,HIV,AIDS

Introduction

The term CD stands for clusters of differentiation used to classify monoclonal antibodies that recognised identical subgroups from a panel of leukocyte cell lines and is now used almost exclusively to refer to the leukocyte antigens themselves.CD8 and CD4 are among the most well known and intensively studied of the CD antigens.

CD8 is glycoprotein expressed on thymus derived lymphocytes (T-cells) and contains immunoglobulin-like (ig-like) extracellular domains, a membrane- spanning segment, and a short cytoplasmic tail that interacts with P56^{lck} a

sre-like tyrosine kinase. The CD8 exists as a disulfide-linked dimer of either one - and one chain of 34kDa each or of two - chains. The extracellular regions of the - and - chains of CD8 are homologous to one another and consist of a single NH₂ terminal ig-like domain and a 50-() or 30-() amino acid hinge region (Leahy,1995). In humans, both genes are located on chromosome 2 in position 2p12. The CD8 coreceptor is predominantly expressed on the surface of cytotoxic T cells, but can also be found on natural killer cells, cortical thymocytes, and dendritic cells. It is expressed in T cell lymphoblastic lymphoma and hypo-pigmented mycosis fungoides, but is frequently lost in other T-cell neoplasms (Leong et al., 2003).



Fig 2.10: Crystallographic structure of the CD8 molecule (Leahy *et al.*, 1992).

The cDNA sequence of the , and subunits of CD8 revealed them both to consist of an NH₂ terminal domain homologous to Ig variable domains and a short hinge region connecting the ig-like domain to a putative membrane- spanning region. E.g., the alignment of the NH₂ terminal iglike domains of the - and - chains results in 17% of the residues matching, and 30 amino acid long hinge region of the - chain is 20 amino acids shorter than that of the - chain. Also the cytoplasmic region of the - chain does not contain the pattern of cysteine residues identified in the - chain. Although the differences in the expression and function of / / dimmers have also been detected but the significance of these differences remains uncertain. The - chain of CD8 alone has shown to be sufficient for at least some of CD8 function through the transfection of - chain of CD8 with a TCR which was sufficient to reconstitute MHC (Major histocompatibility complex) restricted recognition of antigen. The crystal structure of the NH₂ terminal 113 amino acids of human CD8 showed the expected NH₂ terminal domain homologous to Ig variable domains with 9 beta-strands divided into 2 beta sheets, one of 4 and the other of 5 strands (Leahy et al., 1995). The C-C and F-G loops that in CD4 were truncated form part of the dimer interface in immunoglobulins and immunoglobulin -like in CD8 in both length and function. In the CD8 structure there is an immunoglobulin-like intersheet disulfide bridge from the B-strand to the F-strand with another cysteine conserved in the C-strand which closely apposed to the B-F disulfide bridge suggesting that either the B-F or disulfide could form without gross B-C rearrangements of CD8 structure. The hinge region that connects the NH₂ terminal Ig –like

domain to the transmembrane segment consists of 50 residues in the - chain and 30 residues in the - chain. This hinge region has O-linked glycosylation which correlates with extended structure for the CD8 hinge region necessary to allow the NH₂terminal of CD8 to span the length of a TCR (T-cell receptor) and MHC molecule to interact with the 3 domain of class 1 MHC molecule. This O-linked glycosylation is heavily sialiated leading to several negative charges in the region. Also the glycosylation in the hinge region extends the extracellular portion of CD8 away from the negatively charged cell membrane or modulates intermolecular interactions with other T-cell membrane proteins. In chain hinge region of CD8, the level of sialiation has been shown to vary with activation state of the T-cell and this modulation of glycosylation may reflect a regulated charge in CD8 properties.

Molecular Basis of the Peptide Major Histocompatibility Complex 1 (PMHC1)–CD8 Interaction

The interaction of CD8 with MHC 1 molecule during both T- cell development and response to antigen are central to T- cell function. The molecular nature of these interactions and the signals arising from them are thus of considerable interest. The CD8 co-receptor binds to a largely invariant region of MHC1 that is spatially distinct from the TCR binding platform, allowing the potential for tripartite (TCR-pMHC1-CD8) complex formation. The CD8- binding site on MHC 1 had been identified as a region of highly charge, and so a surface of negative complementary positive charge was sought on CD8 and the only surface of CD8 with a uniformly positive electrostatic potential is the surface containing complementaritythe determining region-like (CDR-like) loops. In an analogous fashion to the TCR, the soluble domain of CD8 contains a number of flexible complementarity-determining region-like (CDR) loops that are involved in MHC1 binding. The interaction between the CDR-like loops of human CD8 (residues 51-55) and a finger-like loop in the 3 domain of HLA-A*0201 (residues 223-229) forms the main contact zone of the complex. The CDR-like loops of CD8 'clamp' onto this flexible finger-like loop asymmetrically, with molecule in the dimer contributing each differently to the overall binding. Additionally,

CD8 contacts the 2 and 2m domains of HLA-A*0201, compounding the overall stability of the complex . These findings have been confirmed recently by another study that reported the co-crystal structure of CD8 in complex with HLA-A*2402 in which, CD8 bound primarily to the flexible 3 domain of HLA-*2402 in a virtually identical conformation to that observed with HLA-A*0201 (Shi et al., 2011). Although bound to H2-K^b in a similar murine CD8 fashion compared with the human HLA-A*0201complex, there were some key differences CD8 in fine specificity between these two interactions. For example, in the murine system, more contacts were made between CD8 and the MHCI 3 domain, fewer contacts existed between CD8 and the MHC1 2 domain, and a number of unique bonds were formed at the interface between CD8 and 2m. These differences probably explain the higher binding affinity of murine CD8 compared with human CD8 for their corresponding speciesspecific MHC1s.

Until recently, the orientation of the CD8 heterodimer in complex with pMHCI was still speculated and the atomic structure of murine in complex with $H-2D^d$, at 2.6 CD8 resolution (Wang et al., 2009) revealed that the binding mode of the CD8 heterodimer was largely homologous to that of the CD8 homodimer. Accordingly, the CDR-like loops of bound predominantly to the conserved CD8 finger-like loop in the $H-2D^d$ 3 domain. Moreover, CD8 adopted a single orientation in the $H-2D^d$ –CD8 co-complex, with the -chain in the equivalent position to the CD8 1-chain in the pMHCI–CD8 complex, proximal to the Tcell membrane, in opposition to the original structural conformation predicted previously. Nonetheless, there were also some notable differences between the murine pMHCI-CD8 complex structures. For and pMHCI–CD8 example, CD8 did not contact the 2 and 2m domains of H-2D^d, which reduced the buried surface area of this complex compared with murine pMHCI-CD8 .

The T-cell co-receptors govern TCR binding orientation and MHC restriction. According to Bridgeman *et al.*(2012), accumulated structural evidence of TCR–pMHC interactions has shown

that the TCR binds with a conserved general topology, with the TCR -chain positioned over the N-terminus of the peptide and the TCR chain over the C-terminus. Also this binding mode is essential to allow co-receptor binding to the same pMHC as the TCR at the cell surface). Hence, the CD8 co-eceptor (and CD4 co-receptor) may have a role in governing the conserved binding mode of the TCR to allow the formation of a functional signalling complex at the T-cell surface,(Yin et al., 2012) Indeed, studies by Kuhns and Davis showed that the ectodomains of CD3 and CD3, that constitute an important part of the TCR signalling complex, associate with the C DE and C CC' loops, respectively, within the constant domain of the TCR. In this study, mutation of these conserved loops disrupted the formation of the TCR-CD3 signalling domain and subsequent T-cell activation. So it seems that these CD3 subunits, intracellular tyrosine that contain kinase activation motifs and play an important role in providing T-cell activation signals, form specific interactions with the TCR, fixing their position at the cell surface with respect to the TCR. Yin et al.(2012), showed that the structure of the TCR-pMHCII-CD4 tripartite complex is compatible with this notion. This would position the intracellular signalling domains of CD3 and the co-receptor in close proximity to enable crosssignalling during antigen engagement. If the TCR bound in the reverse polarity, with the TCR chain over the peptide N-terminus and the TCR chain over the C-terminus, the CD3 complex would lie distal from the co-receptor, and this could presumably reduce the efficiency of the Tcell activation signal between the co-receptor and the CD3 complex. Works have shown that the CD4 and CD8 co-receptors impose MHCrestriction on T cells by preventing Lck availability during TCR interactions with non-MHC antigens. Indeed, in the absence of the coreceptors T cells develop with antibody-like specificities that can respond to other cell surface molecules, such as CD155. It seems probable that the ability of the CD8 co-receptor to interact with the MHCI 3 domain enables the formation of an orientationally correct TCR-CD3 signalling complex essential for positive selection in the thymus, and subsequent efficient recognition of antigen in the periphery.



Fig 2.11: Crystal structures of CD8 and CD8

Fig 2.11: Crystal structures of CD8 and CD8 in complex with peptide-MHC class 1complex (pMHC1). (a a) Crystal structure of the human homodimer (PDB: 1CD8) with the 1-CD8 chain shown and the 2-chain shown. (b) Crystal structure of the human CD8 heterodimer (PDB: 2ATP) with the -chain shown and the -chain shown. (c) The co-crystal complex between and HLA-A2*0201-ILKEPVHGV human CD8 (PDB: 1AKJ). CD8 is shown (1) and (2) binding mainly to the 3 domain of MHCI. The complementarity-determining region (CDR)-like loops of the CD8 molecule bind to a finger-like loop formed by residues 223–227 of the MHCI 3 domain; this interaction comprises the main binding interface between CD8 and MHCI (indicated by an arrow). (d) The co-crystal complex between murine CD8 and $H2-D^{a}$ -RGPGRAFVTI (PDB: 3DMM). CD8 is shown (2) and () binding mainly to the 3 domain of MHCI (indicated by an arrow). Although the

amino acid sequence of CD8 is distinct from that of CD8, the CD8 heterodimer adopts a virtually identical conformation to that of the CD8 homodimer and binds to pMHCI in a similar overall manner.

CD8 and Immune System

Immune responses are initiated when resting precursor CD4` T cells are triggered by MHC/peptide complexes in concert with costimulatory molecules on the surface of antigen presenting cells (APCs). As a consequence of this triggering, the CD4` T cells proliferate, begin to secrete cytokines (IL-2, IFN-c, IL-4, etc.) and express important cell surface molecules including the IL-2 receptor (CD25), CTLA-4, and CD40 ligand. In addition to these regulatory pathways, which are intrinsic to CD4 T cells, some studies have suggested that CD8 T cells

also interact with CD4 T cells to regulate immune responses in a profound manner. For example, CD8 T cells have been shown to control the physiological outgrowth and function of autoreactive CD4 T cells in vivo (Jiang et al., 2000) .These regulatory interactions between CD4 and CD8 T cells are complex and involve and both antigen specific nonspecific mechanisms. In principle, three distinct but not mutually exclusive models by which CD8 T cells specifically regulate antigen driven CD4 T cells can be enumerated. First, CD8 T cells could be triggered by antigens to secrete lymphokines, which, in turn, control the CD4 T cells activated by the same antigens. Indeed, cytokines including IL-4 and IFN-c secreted by CD8 T cells have been shown in some systems to regulate CD4 T cell function. Because of the potential proximity of CD4 and CD8 T cells at the site of initial antigen activation and because antigen-activated T cells preferentially may express the receptors for these cytokines, the effect of even these antigen nonspecific cytokines will be relatively antigen specific. Second, antigen triggering induces CD4T cells to transiently express non polymorphic membrane activation molecules unrelated either to antigen or TCR that are recognized by regulatory CD8 T cells. The recognition of these activation molecules (sometimes referred to as ergotypic structures) may induce CD8 T cell differentiation into effector cells that have the potential to delete or inactivate antigen-activated CD4 T cells. Third, T cell receptor related structures, including TCRderived MHC/ peptide complexes expressed on antigen-activated CD4 cells may induce regulatory CD8 T cells. These CD8 T cells could then differentiate and recognize the TCR-derived peptide/MHC class I complexes expressed on the activated CD4 inducer cells. The effector phase of regulation mediated by these putative TCR peptide-recognizing CD8 T cells may involve either conventional cell-mediated cytotoxic (CTL) functions and/or the release of cytokines. This latter view of immune regulation involving recognition of TCR structures was initially suggested, in principle, by Jerne in his idiotypedriven network hypothesis. According to Jiang et al. (2000), many of the suppressor cell interaction models proposed during the latter part of the 1970s and early 1980s involved complex

interactions between T cell subsets (which now include the CD4 and CD8 subsets) as well as the recognition of TCR related structures by CD8 T cells. It is shown that CD8 T cells are generated during immune responses, in vivo and in vitro, which down regulate CD4 T cells in a TCR Vbspecific manner. This led to two immunological hypotheses generated (i.e. TCR specific network regulation and control of immunity by suppressor T cells) that were more prevalent and/or popular two to three decades ago, at a time when the scientific revolution that has occurred in the molecular characterization of the immune system was in its infancy. During many infections, all T lymphocytes regardless of specificity may undergo cytokine-driven phenotypic changesso-called bystander activation-but only those T cells that recognize pathogen-encoded antigen go through multiple rounds of replication to generate enormous numbers of CTL effector progeny that are the foot soldiers of the adaptive immune response (Zhang and Beran, 2011).

CD8 T cells in HIV Infection

CD8 T cells play an important role in the control of HIV replication during the early phase of infection. These HIV-specific CD8 T cells are targeted at the dominant viral variant and their emergence is usually associated with a rapid fall in viral load before the development of an antibody response. HIV infection is characterized by CD4 T cell depletion, CD8 T cell expansion, and chronic immune activation that leads to immune dysfunction (Catalfamo *et al.*,2011).

Most of the CD8 T cells generated during primary infection die within a few weeks, leaving a reservoir of HIV-specific CD8 memory T-cells that l persist, regardless of the presence of antigen or CD4 helper T cells. Attempts to account for the gradual failure of CD8 T cells to control HIV replication have been made. The 'viral escape' theory states that the cells begin to lose the ability to recognise HIV's genetic sequences due to the high level of viral turnover and mutation. One study found that CD8 T cells lose their ability to recognise and kill viral variants, even though they may be responsive to normal 'wild type' viruses. CD8 cytotoxic T cells from HIV-infected asymptomatic individuals were compared with those from symptomatic AIDS patients and it was found that CD8 T cells from asymptomatic individuals could recognise and kill both types of target cells while in contrast, the CD8 T cells from symptomatic patients, while still able to recognise and eliminate the laboratory strain targets, no longer killed target cells infected with their own virus. Additionally, HIV-specific CD8 T cells may fail to produce the cytotoxic molecule, perforin, which CD8 cells use to kill virus-infected cells. The CD8 T cells are unable to keep up with the increasingly diverse population of HIV inside the body without helper T cells that slowly disappear during HIV disease. Also as HIV mutates in the body, due to several factors including pressure from antiretroviral medications, these **T**-cells become CD8 increasingly irrelevant.CD8 T cells express CD4 receptors on their surface following activation through the T cell receptor, permitting infection by HIV. This suggests that it may be a mechanism through which CD8 T cells become depleted late in infection. The mechanism(s) of immune activation HIV-induced are not completely understood but the dynamics of CD4 and CD8 T cells are altered in many ways during HIV infection. Both show evidence of increased proliferation and preferential loss of the naive subset. Distinct pathways differentially influence proliferation of CD4 and CD8 T cells in patients with HIV infection. Proliferation of CD4 T cells is driven by a combination of the homeostatic response to CD4 T cell depletion (CD4 T cell counts) and viral load (HIV RNA levels) while in contrast, CD8 T cell proliferation is driven mainly by HIV RNA levels (Catalfamo et al., 2011). In lymphopenic bone marrow transplant recipients, homeostatic proliferation leads to an accumulation of cells with a highly activated memory phenotype (Boasso et al., 2008). During untreated HIV infection, the homeostatic response to CD4 T cell depletion occurs in an Ag-rich inflammatory environment. Together these forces contribute to increased proliferation in both CD4 and CD8T cells (Catalfamo et al., 2011).

During HIV infection, HIV replication leads to activation of the innate and adaptive immune systems, generating an inflammatory environment associated with the induction of type I IFN (Boasso and Shearer, 2008). Chronic exposure to type I IFN may have detrimental consequences on T cell homeostasis and survival (Boasso *et al.*, 2008). Although type I IFNs exhibit antiviral effects, they also can inhibit thymopoiesis and B cell development and can induce proliferation and exhaustion of hematopoietic stem cells (Sato *et al.*,2009).

CD8+ T Cells in AIDS

The AIDS hallmark is the simultaneous fall in CD4 and rise in CD8 T lymphocytes (Tran *et al.*, 2012).

CD8+T lymphocytes (CD8-TLs) play a critical role in control of HIV - infection. There is a strong temporal association between the onset of the initial HIV/SIV-specific CD8-TL response and the decline of peak viremia to the set point, which is maintained into the chronic phase (Borrow et al., 1994). There is a close correlation between certain MHC class I (MHC-I) alleles and control of viral replication in HIV-infected humans (Yant et al., 2006) implying that certain CD8 T lymphocyte responses are better than others at controlling virus replication, therefore it is important to understand the entire AIDS virusspecific T lymphocyte response particularly in elite controllers, which might represent the rare instances of effective CD8 T lymphocyte responses. In AIDS patients, according to, there exist a population of cells with reduced ability to undergo clonal proliferation (nonclonogenic) which belong to the CD8+ subsets that is phenotypically defined by the surface expression of HLA DR antigens, leading to loss of HIV 1 specific cytotoxicity with CD8+ cells totally retaining their cytotoxic potential as measured in te redirected killing assay. This shows that in AIDS patients, the loss of HIV specific cytolytic activity is in part from a defect in HIV specific ctotoxic T lymphocytes population to expand in vivo and an expansion of CD8+ T subset characterised phenotypically surface by expression of HLA DR Antigens.

Conclusion

The CD8 T cells are unable to keep up with the increasingly diverse population of HIV inside the body without helper T cells that slowly disappear

during HIV disease. Also as HIV mutates in the body, due to several factors including pressure from antiretroviral medications, these CD8 Tcells become increasingly irrelevant.CD8 T cells express CD4 receptors on their surface following activation through the T cell receptor, permitting infection by HIV. This suggests that it may be a mechanism through which CD8 T cells become depleted late in infection.CD8 T cells should be improved to help CD4 T cells in fighting HIV infection.Those infected with HIV infection should eat well to boost the immune level.

In the present study, serum Leptin was found to increase significantly 6 months after insertion of BIB. These results are in agreement with those of Marek Bužga et al in which serum Leptin showed a significant decrease 6 months after the insertion of the balloon which is probably related to the decrease in the amount of adipose tissue after MedSil® balloon application [31].

References

- Boasso, A., Hardy A. W., landay A. L., Martinsom J. L. Anderson S. A., Dollan M. J., Clerici, M. and Shearer, G. M. (2008).
 PDL-1 Upregulation on Monocytes and 7 Cells expression of type 1 Interferon receptor by CCRST expressing leukocytes. *Clinical Immunology* 129: 132 – 144.
- Boasso, A. and Shearer, G. M. (2008). Chronic Innate Immune Activation as a Cause of HIV Immunopathogenesis. *Clinical Immunology* **126**: 235 – 245.
- Borrow , P.,. Lewicki, H., Hahn, B.H., Shaw,, G.M. and. Oldstone, M.B . (1994). Virusspecific CD8_ cytotoxic T-lymphocyte activity associated with control of viremia in primary human immunodeficiency virus type 1 infection. J. Virol. **68**: 6103 – 6110.
- Bridgeman J.S., Sewell, A. K., Miles, J. J., Price,
 A. A. and Cola, D. K. (2012). Structural and Biophysical Determinants of B 7-Cell Recognition, *Immunology* 135 (1) 1 18.
- Catalfamo, M., Wilhelm, C., Tcheung, L., Proschan, M., Friesen, T., Park, J., Adelsberger, J., Baseler, M., Maldarelli, F., Davey . R., Robby, G., Rehm C. and Lane C. (2011) CD 4 and CD8 T Cell Immune Activation during Chronic HIV infection:

- Roles ofHomeostasis, HIV type 1 IFN andIL 7 Journal of Immunology186: 2106- 2116
- Jiang, H. and Chess, L. (2000). The Specific Regulation of Immune Responses by CD8 T cell Restricted by the MHC class 1B molecule, QA-1. *Annual Reviews Immunology* **18**: 185 – 216.
- Leahy D. J. (1995). A Structural View of CD4 and CD8. *Faceb Journal* **9** (1). 7 – 25.
- Leahy D. J., Axel. R. and Hendrickson, W. A. (1992) Crystal Structure of a Soluble form of the human T Cell Co-receptor CD8 at 2.6 A. Resolution *Cells* **68** (6): 1145 1162.
- Leong, A. S.Y., Cooper, K. and Leong F. J. (2003) Manual of Diagnostic Cytology (Zed). Greenwich Medical Medial Ltd. P.73..
- Sato T., Onai N., Yoshihara H., Arai F., Suda T. and Ohteki T. (2009). Interferon regulatory factor. 2 Protects quiescent hematopoietic stem cells from type 1 Interferon –dependent exhaustion. *Nat. Med* **15**: 696 – 700.
- Shi, Y. Q., J., Iwamoto, A. and Gao, G. F. (2011). Plasticity of Human CD8 Binding to Peptide-HLA A * 2402. *Molecular Immunology* 48: 2198 2202.
- Tran, G.M.K., Caprani, A and Gerbaud, L. (2012). CD4.CD8 ratio decrease in AIDS, explained by a molecular mimicry between African HIV-1 Nef and Notch-1. Nef as a target for vaccine and NF- Kb inhibitors (salicylate, resveratrol,curcumin, epigallocatechine-3- gallate). *Retrovirology*. 9(11):P24.
- Wang, R., Natarajan, R., and Marquilies D. H. (2009). Structural Basis of the CD8 /MHC 1 Interaction. Focused Recognition Orients CD8 to a T-Cell Proximal Position. *Journal Immunology* **183** (4): 2554 – 2564.
- Yant , L.J. , Friedrich, T.C., Johnson R.C, May, G.E., Maness, N.J., Enz, A.M., Lifson, J.D., O ' Connor , D.H., Carrington , M. and Watkins, D.I. (2006). The high-frequency major histocompatibility complex class I allele Mamu-B*17 is associated with control of simian immunodeficiency virus SIVmac239 replication. J. Virol. 80 : 5074 – 5077,

Int. J. Curr. Res. Med. Sci. 1(4): (2015): 31-38

- Yin, Y., Wang, X. X.and Mariuzza, R. A. (2012). Crystal Structure of a Complex Ternary couple of T-Cell receptor Peptide - MHC and CD4. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 109 (14): 5 405 – 5410.
- Zhang, W.U. and Bevan M. J. (2011). CD8⁺ T Cells: Foot Soldiers of the Immune System. *Immunity* **35** (2) 161 – 168.